

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/813,122	03/31/2004	Yoshihiko Yano	042306	3715	
38834 7:	38834 7590 06/14/2005			EXAMINER	
	N, HATTORI, DANI	KOVAL, MELISSA J			
1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW SUITE 700			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	N, DC 20036		2851		

DATE MAILED: 06/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A 11 11 11	<u> </u>			
ľ		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments		10/813,122	YANO, YOSHIHIKO			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Melissa J. Koval	2851			
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply benod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)□ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
		action is non-final.				
•	Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositio	n of Claims					
4)× (	Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are pending in the applicati	on.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
·	Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.					
7) 🗌 (						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicatio	n Papers	· .				
9)⊠ ⊤	he specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	` '			
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	•	priority under 25 LLS C & 110(a)	(d) or (f)			
12)⊠ A a)⊠	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 0.5.C. § 119(a)	-(d) Or (1).			
•	. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received				
	Certified copies of the priority documents		on No			
	Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •				
J	application from the International Bureau	•	d in this National Stage			
* Se	e the attached detailed Office action for a list	` ''	d			
30	The second of th					
Attachment(s	2)					
`	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te			
	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date <u>October 14, 2004</u> .	5)  Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2851

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it includes the prohibited legal phraseology "means". Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent

Art Unit: 2851

granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Atsushi JP 2002 209393.

Claim 1 sets forth: "A liquid crystal projector comprising:

detection means for detecting whether a cooling fan is stopped or is being rotated (See voltage division circuit 12.);

first control means for gradually raising a voltage to be applied to the cooling fan when the cooling fan is started (See time constant circuit 13.);

and second control means for setting the voltage to be applied to the cooling fan to a predetermined normal operating voltage when the detection means detects that the cooling fan is rotated in the process of gradually raising the voltage to be applied to the cooling fan by the first control means (See regulator circuit 11.)."

Claim 2 sets forth: "A liquid crystal projector comprising:

a detection circuit for detecting whether a cooling fan is stopped or is being rotated (See voltage division circuit 12.);

a first control circuit for gradually raising a voltage to be applied to the cooling fan when the cooling fan is started (See time constant circuit 13.); and

a second control circuit for setting the voltage to be applied to the cooling fan to a predetermined normal operating voltage when the detection circuit detects that the

Art Unit: 2851

cooling fan is rotated in the process of gradually raising the voltage to be applied to the cooling fan by the first control circuit (See regulator circuit 11.)."

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Suzuki U.S. Patent 6,776,489 B2.

See Figures 4 through 6 of Suzuki '489 B2, for example.

Claim 1 sets forth: "A liquid crystal projector comprising:

detection means for detecting whether a cooling fan is stopped or is being rotated (See step S107 of Figure 6.);

first control means for gradually raising a voltage to be applied to the cooling fan when the cooling fan is started (See step S108 of Figure 6.);

and second control means for setting the voltage to be applied to the cooling fan to a predetermined normal operating voltage when the detection means detects that the cooling fan is rotated in the process of gradually raising the voltage to be applied to the cooling fan by the first control means (See step S109 of Figure 6)."

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Hosoda et al. U.S. Patent 6,550,920 B2 liquid crystal projector.

Art Unit: 2851

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melissa J. Koval whose telephone number is (571) 272-2121. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-2258. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MJK